

Short-term variations of diffuse CO₂ emission from the summit crater of Teide volcano, Tenerife, Canary Islands

Gladys V. Melián (1,2,3), Stephany Ocampo (4), Andrew Nisbet (5), Samara McKnight (6), Tania Monzón (7), María Asensio-Ramos (1), Mar Alonso (1), Fátima Rodríguez (1), Marta García-Merino (1,3), Cecilia Amonte (1,3), Nemesio M. Pérez (1,2,3)

(1) Instituto Volcanólogico de Canarias (INVOLCAN), 38400 Puerto de La Cruz, Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain, (2) Instituto Tecnológico y de Energías Renovables (ITER), 38611 Granadilla de Abona, Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain, (3) Agencia Insular de la Energía de Tenerife (AIET), 38611 Granadilla de Abona, Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain, (4) Department of Earth Science, University College London, London, WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom, (5) College of Engineering, Mathematics and Physical Sciences, Camborne School of Mines, University of Exeter, Cornwall, TR10 9EZ, United Kingdom, (6) School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1RJ, United Kingdom, (7) Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de La Laguna, 38071, San Cristóbal de La Laguna, Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain

Teide volcano in Tenerife, Canary Islands, is characterized by the presence of a weak fumarolic system, steamy ground, and high rates of diffuse CO₂ degassing all around this area. The temperature of the fumaroles (83°C) corresponds to the boiling point of water at discharge conditions. Previous diffuse CO_2 surveys have shown to be an important tool to detect early warnings of possible impending volcanic unrests at Tenerife Island (Melián et al., 2012; Pérez et al., 2013). During June, July and August 2016, twelve soil gas surveys were performed at the summit crater of Teide volcano in order to evaluate short-term variations of diffuse CO₂ degassing pattern. Soil CO₂ efflux and soil temperature were always measured at the same 38 observation sites homogeneously distributed within an area of about 6,972 m² inside the summit crater. Soil CO₂ diffuse effluxes were estimated according to the accumulation chamber method and using a non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) LICOR-820 CO₂ analyzer. Soil CO₂ efflux values presented a range from non-detectable ($\sim 0.5 \text{ g} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$) to 10.8 kg·m⁻²·d⁻¹, with an average value of 2.7 kg m⁻² d⁻¹, while soil temperature ranged from 13.1 to 83.6°C with a mean value of 55.6°C. Sequential Gaussian simulations (sGs) were used for mapping and estimate the volcanic diffuse CO2 emission at each survey. The highest values of diffuse CO₂ efflux were measured along the east (>8 kg·m⁻²·d⁻¹) and west (>5 $kg \cdot m^{-2} \cdot d^{-1}$) sectors of the crater. Areas with highest diffuse CO₂ effluxes were also characterized by a relatively high soil temperature ($>60^{\circ}$ C) and by an intense hydrothermal alteration. Weekly diffuse CO₂ emission variations from the summit crater during the study period showed a range between 13.5 and 24.7 t $\cdot d^{-1}$ with an average value of 18.9 t d^{-1} . During these 3 months, the seismic activity rate was about 10 seismic events per month registered by the Instituto Geográfico Nacional (IGN; http://www.ign.es). We compared these observed weekly variations with monthly variations of a longer period with similar seismic rate such as 2014 (about 8 seismic events per month, and values ranged from 15.6 to 22.4 t·d⁻¹, and an average value of 19.0 t·d⁻¹. These values are in the same order than the observed during our study. However, for a longer period of observation, from 1999 to 2010, diffuse CO2 emission rates varied from 2.2 to 36.3 t \cdot d⁻¹, with a mean value of 15.7 t \cdot d⁻¹ (Melián et al., 2012). The long-term variations observed in the diffuse CO₂ emission rates during this period of 10 years were significantly higher than short-term variations observed in the period of study. It is also important to note that the volcanic-seismic crisis of 2004 occurred with an increase on the CO_2 emission from Teide summit crater (Melián et al., 2012). This study shows that during periods of seismic tranquility, diffuse CO₂ emission rates will not suffer significant variations, whether performed on a weekly or monthly basis.

References:

Melián et al., 2012. Bull. Volcanol. DOI 10.1007/s00445-012-0613-1

Pérez et al., 2013. J. Geol. Soc. DOI 10.1144/jgs2012-125